





When Six-Month Cycles Test Administrative Capacity

OB3 shifts Medicaid redetermination from annual to semi-annual cycles for expansion adults beginning January 2027. Every six months, states must reverify eligibility for the 18.5 million people who qualify through expansion pathways. Other Medicaid populations—children, elderly, disabled, pregnant women (totaling 71.5 million)—continue annual or longer redetermination cycles.

For these expansion adults, redetermination includes work requirement compliance verification and exemption renewal. Someone working consistently and documenting through the always-on verification architecture from Article 2A still faces redetermination reviewing their complete eligibility picture. Income may have increased beyond limits. Household composition may have changed affecting eligibility. Work hours may be verified monthly but redetermination confirms the complete package.

The distinction between expansion-focused redetermination and universal Medicaid eligibility review matters profoundly. Work verification (covered in Article 2A) is ongoing compliance monitoring for expansion adults: did you work 80 hours this month, documented through continuous submission from credentialed sources. Redetermination is periodic eligibility confirmation: do you still qualify for Medicaid across income, household composition, and for expansion adults, work compliance or exemption status.

Articles 2A, 2B, and 2C examined verification systems, exemption processes, and human infrastructure for ongoing work requirement administration. Articles 3A, 3B, and 3C addressed MCO responses to enrollment volatility among expansion populations. This article examines how semi-annual redetermination creates concentrated pressure on states, MCOs, and support systems serving the expansion adult population, with spillover effects throughout Medicaid administration.

What Redetermination Actually Means

Semi-annual redetermination requires states to confirm continuing eligibility every six months. For the 18.5 million expansion adults, this means income verification, household composition confirmation, address updates, and categorical eligibility checks—plus work requirement verification or exemption documentation. States have done annual redetermination for decades. Adding semi-annual cycles for expansion adults increases overall state processing volume by approximately 20-25 percent, concentrated in systems serving this specific population.

Someone with a medical exemption faces exemption renewal every six months. The chronic condition hasn't changed but documentation must be refreshed. Provider attestations from Article 2B's exemption system must be obtained again. The exemption valid in January requires re-verification in July even though diabetes or bipolar disorder or caregiving for a disabled child hasn't resolved.

The convergence creates crisis specifically for expansion adults. Income verification systems process wage data. Work verification systems confirm hours. Exemption systems review medical documentation. Household composition changes get reported. Address updates happen. All of these streams flowing separately throughout the year converge at redetermination deadline. Any single component failing terminates coverage.

The volume matters. If states choose synchronized cycles for expansion adults, 9.25 million people hit renewal simultaneously twice yearly—a massive surge in processing demand. If states choose staggered cycles, approximately 1.5 million expansion adults renew monthly with continuous pressure on eligibility systems. Meanwhile, the remaining 71.5 million Medicaid beneficiaries continue annual cycles, creating overlapping but distinct processing streams.



States must build capacity handling both the expansion adult semi-annual surge and ongoing annual processing for other populations. The administrative infrastructure, technology systems, and staffing requirements are concentrated in expansion adult processing but strain shared state eligibility system resources.

S

State Administrative Architecture: Synchronized Versus Staggered

States face a fundamental choice in redetermination timing for expansion adults. Option one: synchronized cycles where all expansion adults in the state renew simultaneously in June and December. Option two: staggered cycles distributing renewal dates across twelve months. Each creates distinct operational realities.

Synchronized cycles generate predictable surges. June and December become redetermination months when expansion adult processing dominates. States can surge-staff with temporary workers, extend processing hours, and deploy targeted outreach campaigns. Between peaks, capacity scales back. MCOs serving expansion populations know exactly when to intensify member support. Employers provide bulk verification during defined periods. Community organizations mobilize navigation capacity twice yearly.

The surge model enables intensive coordination. States can schedule all expansion adult renewals for June, allowing five months of verification building. Work hours from January through May get counted and documented. Exemptions get established and refreshed. When June arrives, redetermination packages complete documentation. This reduces crisis-driven incomplete submissions.

Staggered cycles spread processing evenly across twelve months. Approximately 1.5 million expansion adults renew each month rather than 9.25 million twice yearly. State capacity operates continuously without dramatic peaks. Eligibility workers process steady caseloads year-round instead of alternating between overwhelming surge and relative quiet.

The distributed model prevents crisis points. No single month experiences crushing processing volume. Appeals capacity functions at consistent levels rather than doubling twice yearly. Technology systems handle steady load instead of twice-yearly stress testing. Staff turnover and training occur without disrupting surge-period operations.

But staggered cycles create perpetual engagement demands. MCOs must maintain redetermination-focused care coordination continuously for expansion adult populations rather than intensifying twice yearly. Employers process verification requests monthly instead of anticipating June and December surges. Community organizations need sustained navigation capacity rather than scaling periodically. The machine never stops.

State capacity investments differ dramatically by choice. Synchronized cycles require technology handling peak volumes, temporary staffing infrastructure, and surge-period customer service expansion. Staggered cycles need permanent capacity for continuous processing, year-round staffing at higher levels, and sustained coordination with external partners.

Most states will likely choose synchronized cycles for expansion adults. The predictability benefits outweigh continuous engagement costs. States can communicate clear renewal periods, enabling members to anticipate requirements. External stakeholders—employers, providers, MCOs, community organizations—prefer defined timeframes for intensive support over perpetual engagement.

The Expansion-Focused MCO Challenge

MCOs serving expansion adult populations face intensified redetermination demands compared to plans focusing on children, elderly, or disabled populations. Risk stratification, care coordination workflows, and



member engagement strategies must adapt to semi-annual cycles for this specific population while maintaining annual processes for others.

က

Proactive member outreach 90 days before renewal deadline becomes critical for expansion adults.

Risk stratification from Article 3B identifies high-risk members within the expansion population: those with complex medical needs, documentation barriers, previous coverage gaps, or multiply-burdened status from Article 3C. Care coordinators serving expansion adults initiate contact before state notices arrive, using existing relationships to prevent coverage loss.

Documentation facilitation without eligibility determination creates new MCO responsibilities focused on expansion adults. MCOs can help these members gather documents required for renewal: pay stubs for income verification, household composition attestations, provider letters for exemptions. Care coordinators can't determine eligibility but can ensure complete documentation reaches state systems. Article 3B's payer-facilitated verification infrastructure becomes essential during semi-annual redetermination periods for expansion adults.

Status monitoring integrated with clinical care applies particularly to expansion adults with chronic conditions. Care coordinator dashboards show renewal deadlines alongside medication refills and appointment schedules. Someone with diabetes needs A1C monitoring and renewal completion. Integration means care coordinators address both during member contacts, especially critical given semi-annual cycles creating more frequent administrative touchpoints.

MCO capacity constraints intensify for plans serving high proportions of expansion adults. Care coordinators already managing chronic disease, SDOH needs, and utilization for these members must integrate semi-annual redetermination support. The tiered approach from Article 3B applies: intensive support for high-risk expansion adult members, light-touch for moderate complexity, self-service with assistance available for straightforward cases.

The market segmentation matters. MCOs specializing in expansion adult coverage face sustained redetermination infrastructure costs. Plans serving primarily children or elderly populations continue annual cycles with lower administrative burden. Rate negotiations must reflect these differential costs. States cannot apply uniform administrative cost assumptions across all MCO populations when expansion adults generate distinctly higher processing demands.

The Employer Role in Expansion Adult Verification

Employers provide ongoing verification through distributed submission networks from Article 2A. Semiannual redetermination creates specific employer needs for expansion adult verification: bulk attestations for renewal periods rather than continuous individual submissions throughout the year.

Large employers with sophisticated HR systems can generate bulk verification letters for all expansion adult Medicaid-eligible employees facing June or December renewal under synchronized cycles. Partnership agreements from Article 3B enable employers to submit directly to state systems or through MCO facilitation. This scales efficiently when employers commit resources to supporting their expansion-eligible workforce.

Small employers lack HR infrastructure for bulk processing. Restaurant associations, construction industry groups, and chambers of commerce from Article 2A's employer partnership models become essential for industries employing significant expansion adult populations. Industry associations can provide verification services for member businesses during redetermination periods, spreading administrative burden across employers too small to handle it individually.

Seasonal employers face timing complications with expansion adult workers. Farm workers employed May through September hit June renewal easily under synchronized cycles. December renewal occurs during off-



season unemployment. The verification architecture from Article 2A allowing hour banking and quarterly averaging helps, but redetermination requires confirming current status, not just historical compliance.

4

Gig platforms represent concentrated employer relationships for dispersed expansion adult workers. Article 2A discussed API integration with Uber, DoorDash, and Instacart for continuous verification. Semi-annual redetermination benefits enormously from platform cooperation providing bulk attestations for all expansion adult workers facing renewal deadlines. Without platform participation, hundreds of thousands of gig workers in expansion coverage face manual documentation gathering.

Employer burden varies by state renewal architecture choices. Synchronized cycles create predictable twice-yearly volume spikes for employers of expansion adult populations. Employers know June and December bring verification requests. Staggered cycles spread requests across twelve months but create continuous employer engagement requirements. Most employers prefer predictable surges to constant administrative attention, especially those with significant expansion-eligible workforces.

The Provider Role in Exemption Renewal

Providers determine medical exemptions through functional assessment processes from Article 2B. Semiannual redetermination requires exemption renewal every six months for expansion adults, even for chronic stable conditions.

The documentation burden intensifies. Someone with permanent spinal cord injury seeking expansion adult coverage requires provider attestation that disability still prevents work every six months. Someone with serious mental illness needs updated functional capacity documentation biannually. Someone caring for a child with severe autism must have care needs re-documented though nothing has changed.

Provider time constraints in safety-net settings serving expansion populations make rapid-turnaround documentation difficult. Adding semi-annual exemption renewals for expansion adult patients to existing clinical workload creates bottlenecks. Expansion adult members lose coverage waiting for provider documentation that arrives after deadlines.

Integration with clinical workflows offers solutions for expansion adult exemption processing. Exemption renewals during routine appointments rather than separate documentation requests. EHR templates from Article 2B reducing 30-minute letters to 5-minute attestations. Provider portals enabling direct submission to state systems without member intermediation. These reduce burden but require technology investment and workflow redesign focused on expansion adult populations.

Reimbursement for documentation time matters particularly for safety-net providers serving high proportions of expansion adults. Providers currently absorb exemption documentation as unfunded administrative work. With semi-annual cycles affecting the expansion population, the administrative burden doubles. Recognizing this as billable service or providing stipends acknowledges real costs concentrated in systems serving expansion adults.

State Infrastructure Requirements

States need eligibility systems handling approximately 20-25 percent increased processing volume. The calculation: annual processing of 71.5 million beneficiaries continues (about 71.5 million determinations yearly) while adding 18 million semi-annual expansion adult determinations (18.5 million twice yearly). Total annual processing increases from roughly 90 million determinations to 108 million determinations, or about 20 percent more.

But the increase isn't distributed evenly. It concentrates in systems processing expansion adult eligibility. States need expanded capacity specifically for income verification, work verification integration, exemption documentation processing, and household composition updates affecting expansion populations.



Technology systems handling children's Medicaid don't require expansion—the pressure falls on expansion adult processing infrastructure.

Ŋ

Data integration across verification streams becomes critical for expansion adults. Work verification systems from Article 2A connect to eligibility systems. Employer APIs provide real-time hour counts. Provider portals submit exemption documentation. Income verification pulls from state wage databases and federal data sources. All these streams must converge at redetermination for accurate eligibility determination of the expansion population.

Processing capacity requires adequate staffing for expansion adult determinations. States need approximately 20-25 percent more eligibility worker time, concentrated in units handling expansion adults. Most cannot simply surge-hire for twice-yearly spikes. Building permanent expanded capacity or developing sophisticated temporary staffing infrastructure becomes necessary, focused on the specific skills needed for expansion adult eligibility with work requirements.

Appeals infrastructure must scale to expansion adult volume. Even with excellent systems, some determinations will be incorrect or incomplete. Expansion adults will appeal. State fair hearing capacity must expand proportionally, particularly for appeals involving work verification disputes, exemption denials, or documentation timing issues. The specialized knowledge required for expansion adult appeals with work requirements creates training and expertise demands beyond traditional Medicaid eligibility appeals.

Communication automation targeting expansion adults becomes essential. Personalized renewal notices explaining specific requirements for this population. Deadline reminders via text, email, and mail. Application status updates. These communications must occur semi-annually for 18.5 million expansion adults while annual communications continue for other populations. Natural language generation and multichannel delivery systems enable scale.

States must procure vendor solutions or build internal capacity on brutal timelines. RFP processes typically require 6-12 months. Implementation and testing add 6-9 months. With January 2027 launch, states beginning now have minimal margin. Many will deploy minimum viable systems at launch, improving over time. The expansion adult focus allows states to initially prioritize systems for this population while maintaining existing processes for others.

Technology Enabling Coordination

Predictive analytics identifying high-risk expansion adult members before redetermination enables proactive intervention. Models analyzing work patterns, healthcare utilization, housing stability, previous renewal outcomes, life events, and social complexity factors flag expansion adult members needing outreach 90 days before deadline. This advances intervention timelines beyond standard notices, giving vulnerable expansion populations more preparation time.

Automated renewal notice generation creates personalized communications for expansion adults at scale. Systems pull member-specific data on exemption status, work verification history, income levels, household composition, and previous renewal outcomes. Natural language generation produces letters explaining exactly what this expansion adult member needs to do, not generic instructions. The notice says "your medical exemption expires May 15, contact Dr. Smith's office for renewal" rather than "if you have an exemption, ensure current documentation."

Document completeness checking happens in real-time as expansion adult members submit materials. Al reviews uploaded documents against requirements, immediately flagging missing signatures, incorrect forms, or insufficient information. Members receive instant feedback enabling correction before deadline rather than discovering problems weeks later. This prevents coverage loss from incomplete submissions that



could have been fixed with immediate notification—particularly valuable for the expansion population facing complex multi-stream verification requirements.

9

Pattern recognition in exemption documentation identifies expansion adult members who should be exempt but haven't applied. Someone with frequent hospitalizations, multiple specialist visits, and chronic disease medications likely qualifies for medical exemption. Al flags these members for care coordinator outreach about exemption applications before renewal deadline. This proactive identification prevents coverage loss for expansion adults who don't realize exemptions exist.

The limitations matter as much as capabilities for expansion adult processing. Al cannot replace human judgment on exemption determinations requiring clinical assessment of functional capacity. Cannot substitute for caseworker knowledge of local resources and community context. Cannot build relationships with expansion adult members experiencing trauma or crisis. Cannot create childcare, transportation, or housing that enable compliance.

Algorithmic bias in systems processing expansion adult determinations risks amplifying disparities. Training data reflecting historical patterns may encode discrimination against populations facing systematic barriers. Models must be audited for bias by race, language, disability, and geography. Human oversight of Algenerated determinations protects against automated discrimination particularly for the expansion population where work requirements interact with health and social complexity.

The realistic timeline: January 2027 is tight for sophisticated AI deployment focused on expansion adult processing. Basic applications like automated reminders, document completeness checking, and renewal cohort identification are feasible. Advanced capabilities like natural language generation and pattern recognition in exemption qualification require 18-24 months to develop and validate. States should plan for basic automation at launch with enhancement over time as they learn from expansion adult processing patterns.

The Spillover Effects on Overall Medicaid Administration

While only expansion adults face semi-annual cycles, spillover effects touch the broader Medicaid system. Eligibility workers processing expansion adults also handle other populations. Technology systems serve all beneficiaries, not just expansion adults. Appeals infrastructure must accommodate both expansion adult and traditional Medicaid disputes. The administrative strain in one part of the system affects the whole.

States making infrastructure investments for expansion adult processing may extend improvements system-wide. New technology enabling automated communications for 18.5 million expansion adults can improve annual notices for 71.5 million others. Enhanced data integration for expansion adult work verification can strengthen income verification for all populations. Staff training for complex expansion adult cases builds expertise benefiting all eligibility determinations.

MCOs serving multiple populations must integrate expansion adult redetermination support with broader care coordination. Plans can't operate separate administrative systems for different populations. Care coordinator workflows, member communication platforms, and risk stratification models built for expansion adults often get deployed across all members. The investment demanded by expansion adult semi-annual cycles may improve operational excellence for entire plans.

The market dynamics shift. MCOs with high expansion adult enrollment face greater administrative costs than plans serving primarily children or elderly populations. Rate negotiations must account for this differential. States cannot simply apply across-the-board rates when expansion adults generate distinctly different processing demands. This market segmentation may drive consolidation as plans optimize portfolios for specific populations and administrative competencies.



Community organizations supporting expansion adults with redetermination often serve other vulnerable Medicaid populations. Navigation capacity built for semi-annual expansion adult cycles strengthens support for annual renewals among disabled populations facing similar documentation barriers. Training peer navigators for expansion adult exemption processes builds skills applicable to disability determination assistance for other groups. The human infrastructure investments radiate beyond the immediate target population.

The December 2026 to January 2027 Launch Reality

States have 14 months to build infrastructure for January 2027 launch of semi-annual cycles for expansion adults. That timeline is tight for technology procurement, system integration, staff hiring and training, MCO contract amendments, provider engagement, employer partnership development, and community organization capacity building—all focused on expansion adult processing requirements.

States beginning work now must make difficult triage choices. Minimum viable systems at launch focusing on expansion adult core functions: income verification, work verification integration, exemption processing, and renewal notices. Enhancement comes in years two and three: sophisticated analytics, comprehensive automation, seamless data integration, and refined exemption processes.

MCOs need actuarial analysis of expansion adult churn patterns under semi-annual cycles by Month 3 to inform rate negotiations. Risk stratification models identifying high-risk expansion adults by Month 6 to enable proactive support. Care coordinator workflows integrating expansion adult redetermination by Month 9 to test before launch. Technology platform integration with state systems by Month 12 to ensure data flows function. The timeline is compressed.

Employers need engagement beginning immediately. Large employers can build systems for expansion adult bulk verification in 12 months with commitment. Small employers need industry association infrastructure that takes 18-24 months to develop fully. Gig platforms require API development and testing timelines of 12-18 months. States must prioritize employer partnerships for industries employing significant expansion adult populations.

Providers need portal development, EHR integration, and workflow redesign for expansion adult exemption processing. Safety-net health centers serving high concentrations of expansion adults need implementation support and reimbursement structures. The 14-month timeline allows minimal piloting before full launch. States must decide: basic provider attestation systems at launch with enhancement later, or delay launch for comprehensive provider integration.

Community organizations supporting expansion adults need funding, training, and coordination infrastructure that typically requires 18-24 months. States won't have navigation capacity fully scaled by January 2027. They'll launch with partial coverage, targeting expansion adults in regions with highest barriers. Over time, navigation expands to comprehensive reach.

The choices being made now determine whether 18.5 million expansion adults experience semi-annual redetermination as routine administrative process or recurring crisis. The pressure is concentrated but intense. Success requires states, MCOs, employers, providers, and community organizations building aligned infrastructure rapidly, focused specifically on the expansion adult population while maintaining existing systems for others.

System architecture isn't neutral. Design choices about timing, communication, presumptive eligibility, and stakeholder roles determine outcomes. The responsibility lies with everyone with authority to shape implementation. January 2027 approaches rapidly. The infrastructure doesn't yet exist. Building it requires focus, resources, and coordination across stakeholders who haven't typically worked in tight alignment. The



expansion adult population deserves better than reactive scrambling. They need deliberate, well-resourced preparation starting now.

 ∞

References

- 1. Kaiser Family Foundation. "Medicaid Enrollment and Unwinding Tracker." KFF.org, 2024. https://www.kff.org/medicaid/issue-brief/medicaid-enrollment-and-unwinding-tracker/
- 2. Sommers BD, Goldman AL, Blendon RJ, Orav EJ, Epstein AM. "Medicaid Work Requirements Results from the First Year in Arkansas." New England Journal of Medicine. 2019;381(11):1073-1082.
- 3. Government Accountability Office. "Medicaid: State Experiences with Annual Eligibility Redeterminations." GAO-23-105071, September 2023.
- 4. Mathematica Policy Research. "Ex Parte Renewal Rates and Barriers: Findings from 2023 Medicaid Unwinding." November 2023.
- 5. Georgetown University Center for Children and Families. "Medicaid Redetermination Communication Strategies: What Works for Vulnerable Populations." 2024.
- 6. National Health Law Program. "Presumptive Eligibility During Administrative Review: Legal Framework and State Options." NHeLP Policy Brief, 2024.
- 7. Manatt Health. "Managing Semi-Annual Medicaid Redeterminations: Operational Considerations for States." 2024.
- 8. State Health and Value Strategies. "Technology Infrastructure for Medicaid Redetermination: Procurement Timelines and Vendor Selection." October 2024.
- 9. Code for America. "Predictive Cohort Modeling for Renewal Scheduling: Optimizing Administrative Capacity." CfA Technology Brief, 2024.
- 10. National Association of State Chief Information Officers. "Natural Language Generation for Personalized Government Communications: Implementation Guide." NASCIO Technical Report, 2024.
- 11. Eubanks V. "Automating Inequality: How High-Tech Tools Profile, Police, and Punish the Poor." St. Martin's Press, 2018.